

# Rank of $\mathcal{F}$ -limits of filter sequences

Adam Kwela

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences

July 6, 2013

Based on joint paper with Ireneusz Reclaw, *Rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ -limits of filter sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 398 (2013), 872–878.

For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a countable set, by  $\mathcal{F}^*$  we denote its dual ideal.

$A$  is  $\Gamma$ -separated from  $B$ , if there exists a set  $S \in \Gamma$  such that  $A \subset S$  and  $B \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Definition (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

*Rank of an analytic filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is the ordinal:*

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = \min \{ \alpha < \omega_1 : \mathcal{F} \text{ is } \Sigma_{1+\alpha}^0 \text{-separated from } \mathcal{F}^* \}.$$

For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a countable set, by  $\mathcal{F}^*$  we denote its dual ideal.

$A$  is  $\Gamma$ -separated from  $B$ , if there exists a set  $S \in \Gamma$  such that  $A \subset S$  and  $B \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Definition (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

*Rank of an analytic filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is the ordinal:*

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = \min \{ \alpha < \omega_1 : \mathcal{F} \text{ is } \Sigma_{1+\alpha}^0 \text{-separated from } \mathcal{F}^* \}.$$

For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a countable set, by  $\mathcal{F}^*$  we denote its dual ideal.

$A$  is  $\Gamma$ -separated from  $B$ , if there exists a set  $S \in \Gamma$  such that  $A \subset S$  and  $B \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Definition (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

*Rank of an analytic filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is the ordinal:*

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = \min \{ \alpha < \omega_1 : \mathcal{F} \text{ is } \Sigma_{1+\alpha}^0 \text{-separated from } \mathcal{F}^* \}.$$

- By  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$  of Borel class  $\alpha$ .
- Point  $x \in X$  is a limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ , if  $\{i \in I : \rho_X(x_i, x) < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- By  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , which can be represented as a pointwise limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence of continuous functions.
- By  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  we denote the Frechét filter, i.e., filter of all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr} = \{A \subset \omega \times \omega : \exists_n \forall_{m > n} A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{Fr}\}$ , where  $A_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th vertical section of  $A$ .

- By  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$  of Borel class  $\alpha$ .
- Point  $x \in X$  is a limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ , if  $\{i \in I : \rho_X(x_i, x) < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- By  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , which can be represented as a pointwise limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence of continuous functions.
- By  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  we denote the Frechét filter, i.e., filter of all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr} = \{A \subset \omega \times \omega : \exists n \forall m > n A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{Fr}\}$ , where  $A_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th vertical section of  $A$ .

- By  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$  of Borel class  $\alpha$ .
- Point  $x \in X$  is a limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ , if  $\{i \in I : \rho_X(x_i, x) < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- By  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , which can be represented as a pointwise limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence of continuous functions.
- By  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  we denote the Frechét filter, i.e., filter of all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr} = \{A \subset \omega \times \omega : \exists n \forall m > n A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{Fr}\}$ , where  $A_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th vertical section of  $A$ .

- By  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$  of Borel class  $\alpha$ .
- Point  $x \in X$  is a limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ , if  $\{i \in I : \rho_X(x_i, x) < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- By  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , which can be represented as a pointwise limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence of continuous functions.
- By  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  we denote the Frechét filter, i.e., filter of all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr} = \{A \subset \omega \times \omega : \exists n \forall m > n A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{Fr}\}$ , where  $A_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th vertical section of  $A$ .



- By  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$  of Borel class  $\alpha$ .
- Point  $x \in X$  is a limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ , if  $\{i \in I : \rho_X(x_i, x) < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- By  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  we denote the family of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , which can be represented as a pointwise limit relatively to  $\mathcal{F}$  of a sequence of continuous functions.
- By  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  we denote the Frechét filter, i.e., filter of all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr} = \{A \subset \omega \times \omega : \exists n \forall m > n A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{Fr}\}$ , where  $A_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th vertical section of  $A$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Reclaw–Laczkovich, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter and  $X$  be an uncountable Polish space.  
Then TFAE:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{F}_{Fr} \times \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ .

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an analytic filter and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  be a countable ordinal.  
Then

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(X)$  for any zero-dimensional Polish space  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$ .



If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a filter on  $I$ , then by  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F})$  we denote the domain of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., the set  $I$ .

$\sum_{i \in I} X_i$  is the disjoint sum of  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ .

## Definition

*For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $I$  and a family of filters  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ , the collection of all sets of the form  $\sum_{i \in F} F_i$ , for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , constitutes a basis of a filter on the set  $\sum_{i \in I} \text{dom}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ .*

*We call it the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of the family  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ .*

If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a filter on  $I$ , then by  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F})$  we denote the domain of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., the set  $I$ .

$\Sigma_{i \in I} X_i$  is the disjoint sum of  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ .

## Definition

*For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $I$  and a family of filters  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ , the collection of all sets of the form  $\sum_{i \in F} F_i$ , for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , constitutes a basis of a filter on the set  $\sum_{i \in I} \text{dom}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ .*

*We call it the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of the family  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ .*

If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a filter on  $I$ , then by  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F})$  we denote the domain of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., the set  $I$ .

$\sum_{i \in I} X_i$  is the disjoint sum of  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ .

## Definition

*For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $I$  and a family of filters  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ , the collection of all sets of the form  $\sum_{i \in F} F_i$ , for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , constitutes a basis of a filter on the set  $\sum_{i \in I} \text{dom}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ .*

*We call it the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of the family  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ .*

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \beta + \alpha$ .
- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Question

What is the exact value for  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ ?

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \beta + \alpha$ .
- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Question

What is the exact value for  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ ?

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \beta + \alpha$ .
- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Question

What is the exact value for  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ ?

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \beta + \alpha$ .
- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Question

What is the exact value for  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ ?

## Theorem (Debs–Saint Raymond, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Fubini sum of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \beta + \alpha$ .
- If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Question

What is the exact value for  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}_{Fr}$ ?



## Definition

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $I$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  are filters on  $X$ , then

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i = \{A \subset X : \{i \in I : A \in \mathcal{F}_i\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a filter on  $X$  called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -limit of filters  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ .

## Question

Is there a similar theorem to the previous one for  $\mathcal{F}$ -limits?

## Definition

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $I$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  are filters on  $X$ , then

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i = \{A \subset X : \{i \in I : A \in \mathcal{F}_i\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a filter on  $X$  called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -limit of filters  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ .

## Question

Is there a similar theorem to the previous one for  $\mathcal{F}$ -limits?

## Proposition (K-Reclaw)

Let  $J \subset I$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .

## Theorem (K-Reclaw)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) = \beta + 1$ .

## Proposition (K-Reclaw)

Let  $J \subset I$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .

## Theorem (K-Reclaw)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) = \beta + 1$ .

## Proposition (K-Reclaw)

Let  $J \subset I$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \alpha$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1 + \alpha$ .

## Theorem (K-Reclaw)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i) \leq \beta + 1$ .

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Borel filter on  $I$  of rank 1 and  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \beta$  for all  $i \in J$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F} - \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) = \beta + 1$ .

## Method of Reław and Laczkovich

Consider the following game  $G(\mathcal{F})$  invented by Laflamme: Player I in his  $n$ -th turn picks  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and Player II responds with a finite set  $F_n \subset C_n$ . Player I wins, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ .

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy:  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a determined game.
- 2 Laczkovich–Reław: Player I has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > 1$
- 3 Therefore Player II has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .
- 4 Laflamme's characterization of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ :  $\mathcal{F}$  has some "nice" combinatorial properties.

## Method of Reław and Laczkovich

Consider the following game  $G(\mathcal{F})$  invented by Laflamme: Player I in his  $n$ -th turn picks  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and Player II responds with a finite set  $F_n \subset C_n$ . Player I wins, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ .

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy:  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a determined game.
- 2 Laczkovich–Reław: Player I has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > 1$
- 3 Therefore Player II has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .
- 4 Laflamme's characterization of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ :  $\mathcal{F}$  has some "nice" combinatorial properties.

## Method of Reław and Laczkovich

Consider the following game  $G(\mathcal{F})$  invented by Laflamme: Player I in his  $n$ -th turn picks  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and Player II responds with a finite set  $F_n \subset C_n$ . Player I wins, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ .

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy:  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a determined game.
- 2 Laczkovich–Reław: Player I has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > 1$
- 3 Therefore Player II has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .
- 4 Laflamme's characterization of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ :  $\mathcal{F}$  has some "nice" combinatorial properties.



## Method of Reław and Laczkovich

Consider the following game  $G(\mathcal{F})$  invented by Laflamme: Player I in his  $n$ -th turn picks  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and Player II responds with a finite set  $F_n \subset C_n$ . Player I wins, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ .

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy:  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a determined game.
- 2 Laczkovich–Reław: Player I has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > 1$
- 3 Therefore Player II has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .
- 4 Laflamme's characterization of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ :  $\mathcal{F}$  has some "nice" combinatorial properties.

## Method of Reław and Laczkovich

Consider the following game  $G(\mathcal{F})$  invented by Laflamme: Player I in his  $n$ -th turn picks  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and Player II responds with a finite set  $F_n \subset C_n$ . Player I wins, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ .

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy:  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a determined game.
- 2 Laczkovich–Reław: Player I has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > 1$
- 3 Therefore Player II has a winning strategy in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .
- 4 Laflamme's characterization of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ :  $\mathcal{F}$  has some "nice" combinatorial properties.

## Theorem (K-Reclaw)

*For any ordinals  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ , there are  $\mathcal{F}$  of rank  $\beta$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{F})}$  of ranks  $\alpha$  such that  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i$  has rank 1.*

## Lemma (K-Reclaw)

*For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exist two filters  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of rank  $\alpha$  and such that  $\mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_1$  has rank 1.*

## Theorem (K-Reclaw)

*For any ordinals  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ , there are  $\mathcal{F}$  of rank  $\beta$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{F})}$  of ranks  $\alpha$  such that  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_i$  has rank 1.*

## Lemma (K-Reclaw)

*For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exist two filters  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of rank  $\alpha$  and such that  $\mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_1$  has rank 1.*

Thank you for your attention!

A.Kwela@impan.pl