

Ideal equal Baire classes

Adam Kwela

University of Gdańsk

Winter School 2017

Based on the article: Adam Kwela, Marcin Staniszewski, *Ideal equal Baire classes*, J. Math. Anal. App., DOI: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.11.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.11.062).

Three notions of ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} be ideals on ω and let X be a set. Suppose that $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(f_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$.

- $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ if $\{n : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f$ (\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence) if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}-d} f$ (\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence) if $\{n : f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e} f$ ($(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence) if there exists a sequence of positive reals $(\varepsilon_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} 0$ such that $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$.

Three notions of ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} be ideals on ω and let X be a set. Suppose that $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(f_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$.

- $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ if $\{n : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f$ (\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence) if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}-d} f$ (\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence) if $\{n : f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e} f$ ($(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence) if there exists a sequence of positive reals $(\varepsilon_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} 0$ such that $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$.

Three notions of ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} be ideals on ω and let X be a set. Suppose that $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(f_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$.

- $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ if $\{n : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f$ (\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence) if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}-d} f$ (\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence) if $\{n : f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e} f$ ($(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence) if there exists a sequence of positive reals $(\varepsilon_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} 0$ such that $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$.

Three notions of ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} be ideals on ω and let X be a set. Suppose that $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(f_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$.

- $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ if $\{n : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f$ (\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence) if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}-d} f$ (\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence) if $\{n : f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$;
- $f_n \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e} f$ ($(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence) if there exists a sequence of positive reals $(\varepsilon_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} 0$ such that $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in X$.

Quasi-continuous functions

A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *quasi-continuous* in $x_0 \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every open neighborhood U of x_0 there exists an open nonempty $V \subseteq U$ such that for all $x \in V$ we have $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$. f is *quasi-continuous* ($f \in QC(X)$) if it is quasi-continuous in all $x \in X$.

Plan of the talk

	\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence	\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence
$C(X)$ X – perfectly normal space	✓	✓	?
$QC(X)$ X – metric Baire space	✓	✓	?

Plan of the talk

	\mathcal{I} -pointwise convergence	\mathcal{I} -discrete convergence	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -equal convergence
$C(X)$ X – perfectly normal space	✓	✓	?
$QC(X)$ X – metric Baire space	✓	✓	?

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (Z. Grande)

- 1 $B_1^d(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha^d(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (P. Szuca and T. Natkaniec; A.K.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a Borel ideal.

- 1 $\text{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}-d}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $\text{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $B_1^{\mathcal{I}-d}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 3 $B_\alpha^{\mathcal{I}-d}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

\mathcal{J} contains an isomorphic copy of \mathcal{I} ($\mathcal{I} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{J}$) if there is a bijection $f: \bigcup \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \bigcup \mathcal{J}$ such that $f[A] \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}$.

\mathcal{WR} is an ideal on $\omega \times \omega$ generated by two kinds of generators:

- 1 vertical lines, i.e., sets of the form $\{n\} \times \omega$ for $n \in \omega$;
- 2 sets $G \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ such that for every $(i, j), (k, l) \in G$ either $i > k + l$ or $k > i + j$.

\mathcal{J} contains an isomorphic copy of \mathcal{I} ($\mathcal{I} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{J}$) if there is a bijection $f: \bigcup \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \bigcup \mathcal{J}$ such that $f[A] \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}$.

\mathcal{WR} is an ideal on $\omega \times \omega$ generated by two kinds of generators:

- 1 vertical lines, i.e., sets of the form $\{n\} \times \omega$ for $n \in \omega$;
- 2 sets $G \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ such that for every $(i, j), (k, l) \in G$ either $i > k + l$ or $k > i + j$.

Theorem (folklore)

- 1 $B_1^e(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire spaces X .
- 2 $B_\alpha^e(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire spaces X .

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 4 $B_\alpha^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire X .

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 4 $B_\alpha^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire X .

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 4 $B_\alpha^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire X .

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 4 $B_\alpha^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire X .

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}_0(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{PWD}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q -type if and only if $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all metric Baire X .
- 4 $B_\alpha^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(\text{QC}(X)) = \text{Baire}(X)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and all metric Baire X .

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. We denote:

- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup A = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge N \subseteq A\}$;
- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega} = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \exists_{n \in \omega} N \subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} A_i\}$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Example

- ① $(\text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the first q-type.
- ② $(\emptyset \otimes \text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the second q-type.
- ③ $(\mathcal{WR}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the third q-type.

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. We denote:

- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup A = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge N \subseteq A\}$;
- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega} = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \exists_{n \in \omega} N \subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} A_i\}$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Example

- ① $(\text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the first q-type.
- ② $(\emptyset \otimes \text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the second q-type.
- ③ $(\mathcal{WR}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the third q-type.

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. We denote:

- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup A = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge N \subseteq A\}$;
- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega} = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \exists_{n \in \omega} N \subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} A_i\}$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Example

- ① $(\text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the first q-type.
- ② $(\emptyset \otimes \text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the second q-type.
- ③ $(\mathcal{WR}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the third q-type.

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. We denote:

- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup A = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge N \subseteq A\}$;
- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega} = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \exists_{n \in \omega} N \subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} A_i\}$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Example

- ① $(\text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the first q-type.
- ② $(\emptyset \otimes \text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the second q-type.
- ③ $(\mathcal{WR}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the third q-type.

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. We denote:

- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup A = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge N \subseteq A\}$;
- $\mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega} = \{M \cup N : M \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \exists_{n \in \omega} N \subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} A_i\}$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathcal{WR} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third q-type if $\mathcal{WR} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Example

- ① $(\text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the first q-type.
- ② $(\emptyset \otimes \text{Fin}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the second q-type.
- ③ $(\mathcal{WR}, \text{Fin} \otimes \emptyset)$ is of the third q-type.

Consider the following game $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a $k_n \notin C_n$. Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{k_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ is determined.
- 2 K.: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\mathcal{WR} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω - $+$ -diagonalizable: there is a sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ there is n with $A \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

Consider the following game $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a $k_n \notin C_n$. Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{k_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ is determined.
- 2 K.: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\mathcal{WR} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω - $+$ -diagonalizable: there is a sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ there is n with $A \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

Consider the following game $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a $k_n \notin C_n$. Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{k_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ is determined.
- 2 K.: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\mathcal{WR} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω - $+$ -diagonalizable: there is a sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ there is n with $A \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

Consider the following game $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a $k_n \notin C_n$. Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{k_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: $G_1(\mathcal{I})$ is determined.
- 2 K.: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\mathcal{WR} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω - $+$ -diagonalizable: there is a sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ there is n with $A \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

Definition

- ① $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first **c**-type if $\mathit{Fin} \otimes \mathit{Fin} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.
- ② $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second **c**-type if $\mathit{Fin} \otimes \mathit{Fin} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup (A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ for some sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, but $\mathit{Fin} \otimes \mathit{Fin} \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ③ $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third **c**-type if $\mathit{Fin} \otimes \mathit{Fin} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \sqcup A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Consider the following game $G_2(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a **finite set F_n disjoint with C_n** . Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: the game is determined.
- 2 Laflamme: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\mathbf{Fin} \otimes \mathbf{Fin} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω -diagonalizable by \mathcal{I} -universal sets (which is a "nice" combinatorial property).

Consider the following game $G_2(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a **finite set F_n disjoint with C_n** . Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: the game is determined.
- 2 Laflamme: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is ω -diagonalizable by \mathcal{I} -universal sets (which is a "nice" combinatorial property).

Consider the following game $G_2(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a **finite set F_n disjoint with C_n** . Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: the game is determined.
- 2 Laflamme: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if **$\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$**
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is **ω -diagonalizable by \mathcal{I} -universal sets** (which is a "nice" combinatorial property).

Consider the following game $G_2(\mathcal{I})$ invented by Laflamme: Player I in his n -th turn picks $C_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and Player II responds with a **finite set F_n disjoint with C_n** . Player I wins, if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise Player II wins.

- 1 Martin's Theorem on Borel determinacy: the game is determined.
- 2 Laflamme: Player I has a winning strategy if and only if **$\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I}$**
- 3 Laflamme: Player II has a winning strategy if and only if \mathcal{I} is **ω -diagonalizable by \mathcal{I} -universal sets** (which is a "nice" combinatorial property).

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n(X)$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) \supseteq B_{n+1}^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .

In parts (2) and (3) of the above Theorem the implications from left to right can be generalized to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n(X)$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) \supseteq B_{n+1}^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .

In parts (2) and (3) of the above Theorem the implications from left to right can be generalized to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n(X)$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) \supseteq B_{n+1}^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .

In parts (2) and (3) of the above Theorem the implications from left to right can be generalized to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n(X)$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) \supseteq B_{n+1}^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .

In parts (2) and (3) of the above Theorem the implications from left to right can be generalized to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Theorem (A.K. and M. Staniszewski)

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be non-orthogonal ideals on ω . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is Borel.

- 1 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the first c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 2 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the second c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) = B_n(X)$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .
- 3 $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is of the third c -type if and only if $B_n^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X)) \supseteq B_{n+1}^e(C(X))$ for all $1 \leq n < \omega$ and all perfectly normal spaces X .

In parts (2) and (3) of the above Theorem the implications from left to right can be generalized to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Problem

Generalize the previous Theorem to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Problem

Characterize $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X))$ for $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of the third c-type.

Problem

Generalize the previous Theorem to all $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

Problem

Characterize $B_1^{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})-e}(C(X))$ for $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of the third c -type.

Thank you for your attention!